



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N		
09/902,440	9/902,440 07/10/2001		Dennis R. Ulbrich	22578.3	5506	
716	7590	07/25/2002				
-		CORPORATED	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1800 112 EAST PECAN STREET SAN ANTONIO, TX 782051536				LUGO, CARLOS		
5/11 /10100, 1X /62051550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3677		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
		09/902,440		ULBRICH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	**	Art Unit				
		Carlos Lugo		3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Parameter in the Control of the Control							
1)⊠								
2a)□	,—	is action is non						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp sition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>8-11 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11 and 15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	4) [5) [. 6) [r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/902,440

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to the election made on June 26, 2002, where in claims 1-7 and 12-14 were withdraw from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections, set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art in view of US Pat No 5,513,871 to Johnson or US Pat No 4,699,395 to Hale.

Regarding claims 8,9 and 15, the Prior Art discloses the invention as claimed. However, the Prior Art fails to disclose the use of a padlock to lock a gooseneck trailer hitch.

Johnson teaches that the use of a padlock (P) as a locking apparatus for a hitch is known in the art.

Hale teaches that the use of a padlock (Figure 1) as a locking apparatus for a hitch is known in the art.

As to claims 10 and 11, applicant is reminded that a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. <u>In re Rose</u>, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 09/902,440

Art Unit: 3677

Page 3

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to use a padlock, as taught by Johnson or Hale, into a gooseneck trailer

hitch as described by the Prior Art, in order to lock the gooseneck trailer hitch.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The patents cited further show the state of the art with respect

to locking apparatus.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-

305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-

9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327.

The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the

examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number

and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

July 16, 2002

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600